## Community Awareness, Promotion and Prevention Part 2

### Postgraduate Diabetes Management and Education Module 7102 Semester 1

Dr. Tan Ming Yeong E-mail: TanMingYeong@imu.edu.my



## **Content Outline**

- Proposed solutions for Diabetes Prevention
  - Diabetes awareness
  - Diabetes prevention –screening
  - Collaboration
- Summary

## **Diabetes Prevention Initiatives**

- Awareness
- Identify high risk group with screening
- Collaboration
- Research





## Public Awareness on Diabetes Prevention



 Public Awareness on Diabetes Prevention is discussed under the following topics

- Research on public awareness of diabetes
  IDF Global Strategic Plan to Raise Awareness of Diabetes
- Mass media



# Studies of Public Awareness of Diabetes in Malaysia

| Title /year                             | Place of<br>study | No  | ΤοοΙ          | Results  |
|---|-------------------|-----|---------------|--|
| Knowledge of DM<br>2006                 | KK<br>Seremban    | 66  | Questionnaire | Mean score 64%<br>Knowledge correlate with age<br>(p=0.008)  |
| Diab awareness<br>assessment<br>2017    | General<br>Public | 350 | Questionnaire | Mean score 11.1 (moderate)<br>Knowledge correlate with age,<br>level of education, Family<br>History and having DM |
| Knowledge and<br>attitude of DM<br>2014 | General<br>Public | 150 | Questionnaire | Mean score79% (fair)<br>Knowledge correlate with age,<br>level of education  |
| Knowledge of DM<br>2017                 | General<br>Public | 380 | Questionnaire | 54% (good ) <i>,</i> 41% (fair) 5%<br>(poor)   |
| Knowledge of DM<br>2019                 | General<br>Public | 400 | Questionnaire | 71% (moderate)<br>Knowledge correlate with age<br>and level of education   |

## Public Source of DM Information



Fig. 1: Participants' sources of information about diabetes mellitus

Qamar M. et al. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutic and Clinical Research 2017

MALAYSIAN PUBLIC AWARENESS AND PERCEPTION ON DIABETES



#### **Ipsos Healthcare**

ASCENSIA Diabetes Care

### HOW AGREEABLE ARE YOU WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS FOR YOUR DIABETES CONDITIONS? (ON A SCALE OF 1-7 WHERE 1 REPRESENT STRONGLY DISAGREE AND 7 REPRESENTS STRONGLY AGREE)

| Statement on diabetes condition/ Level of<br>agreement                 | Diabetes patients who gave a rating of 5, 6 and 7 |
|--|---|
| My doctor is the best resource for helping me<br>cope with my diabetes | 54%   |
| My doctor knows what is best for me                                    | 61%   |
| My doctors is more responsible for managing my<br>diabetes than I am   | 57%   |

More than 50% of the diabetic patients have the perception that their doctors should be the one taking charge of their diabetes condition and they know best (e.g. medication and follow up with the doctors).

© 2019 lpsos. Base: Diabetic Respondents (n=69

9

GAME CHANGERS



With Permission from Ascensia Diabetes Care Malaysia

## IDF Global Strategic Plan to Raise Awareness of Diabetes 2003



### IDF Global Strategic Plan to raise Awareness of Diabetes The 4 Core Messages

Diabetes is a common condition and its frequency is dramatically rising all over the world

Diabetes is a life-threatening condition

Diabetes can be detected early and managed effectively

In some instances diabetes can be prevented



## IDF Global Strategic Plan to raise Awareness of Diabetes

### Target Audience for Core Messages



| Core Strategic Message  | Target Audience             | Underlying Communication<br>Message  |
|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Diabetes is a common  | People with diabetes        | If you have diabetes, you are not<br>alone   |
| condition and its<br>frequency is<br>dramatically<br>rising all over the<br>world | High Risk group             | You are at high risk of developing<br>T2DM because you have one of the<br>risk factors |
|   | General Public              | Many people (and may be you too)<br>have diabetes without even<br>knowing it           |
|   | Healthcare<br>professionals | Diabetes and its consequences must be recognized                                       |
|   | Health decision<br>makers   | Diabetes and its consequences<br>must be recognized early and be<br>prevented          |

| Core Strategic<br>Message | Target Audience             | Underlying Communication Message   |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
|                           | People with diabetes        | If neglect diabetes, you can get serious DM complications and shorten your life  |
| Diabetes is a<br>life-    | High Risk group             | Live healthy lifestyle and reduce weight,<br>Important to have bld sugar, Bld pressure,<br>lipid check regularly as diabetes can affect<br>many parts of your body |
| threatening<br>condition  | General Public              | DM is a very serious, life-long condition<br>that can affect/damage heart, eye, kidney<br>and feet   |
|                           | Healthcare<br>professionals | Perform comprehensive monitoring of parameters and regular complication screening for people with diabetes   |
|                           | Health decision makers      | Assign adequate resources to ensure prevention, early diagnosis, treatment   |

| Core Strategic<br>Message  | Target<br>Audience       | Underlying Communication Message   |  |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| Diabetes can<br>be detected<br>early and<br>managed<br>effectively | People with diabetes     | Manage your diabetes daily is important to<br>stay healthy and live longer<br>Join support group   |  |
|  | High Risk group          | Consider annual check bld sugar, BP, lipid<br>if >45yr, overweight, family history of diabetes<br>Be aware of early signs and symptoms of DM |  |
|  | General Public           | Learn more about DM, its early signs and<br>symptoms.<br>Consider checking your bld sugar level if not<br>done yet                           |  |
|  | Healthcare professionals | Diagnosed DM early and manage based on CPG, update knowledge with CPD  |  |
|  | Health decision makers   | Assign adequate resources to ensure prevention, early diagnosis, and overall management of DM  |  |

| Core Strategic<br>Message                            | Target Audeince             | Underlying Communication Message   |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| In some<br>instances<br>diabetes can<br>be prevented | People with diabetes        | Encourage your family members for screening as they are at risk group  |
|  | High Risk group             | Healthy lifestyle can reduce risk of DM.<br>Reduce weight if overweigh, be active and<br>stay in touch with your healthcare team                               |
|  | General Public              | Lead a healthy lifestyle, avoid being<br>overweight and reduce if overweight,<br>being active and seek healthcare advice if<br>you may think you are high risk |
|  | Healthcare<br>professionals | Recognize people at risk of DM and recommend appropriate lifestyle change  |
|  | Health decision<br>makers   | Assign adequate resources to ensure prevention, early diagnosis, and overall management of DM  |

## Strategy to Dissemination Core Message

| Target audience             | Communication strategy   |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Health Decision<br>Maker    | Through local, national, regional and international diabetes<br>association, WHO or appropriate bodies<br>Identify and train lobbying advocates  |
| High Risk groups            | Partner with relevant organization e.g. religion, community activities, websites, health brochures, patient association, YMCA, Diabetes and other health organization  |
| General Public              | Media channel, school, workplaces, conduct awareness<br>programme, website, Partner with relevant organization<br>e.g. religion, community activities, health brochures,<br>patient association, YMCA, Diabetes and other health<br>organization |
| People with diabetes        | Global or regional publication, member association, website, educational materials, YMCA, patient journals   |
| Healthcare<br>professionals | Global media channels, collaboration of health promotion<br>activates in healthcare settings, websites, media activities<br>awareness program, conferences, CPD training, E-learning   |

## Advocacy to Policy Makers

Successful program needs support from policy makers. Lobby the following message:

- Preventing diabetes in the long term is cheaper than treating it (slide 58)
- Research have shown that Lifestyle interventions are effective in prevention of Type 2 Diabetes and even more effective than medication
- Assign adequate resources (money and manpower) to ensure prevention, early diagnosis, treatment and management of diabetes
- Advocate for healthy environment and society by Implementing food and environmental policies that addresses weight reduction and encourage exercise



## **Economy Impact of Diabetes**



Adapted from IDF Atlas 2021

## National Strategic Plan for Non-Communicable Diseases (NSP-NCD) 2016-2025

- Approved by MOH on 10 April 2017
- In-line with Malaysia's commitment at the global level for NCD prevention and control
  - Including Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
- Governance: Cabinet Committee for a Health Promoting Environment (JK Kabinet bagi Persekitaran Hidup yang Sihat or JKPHS)
  - Chaired by Deputy Prime Minister
  - Membership: 12 ministers





## Implementation of NSP-NCD 2016-2025

- 1. National Plan of Action for Nutrition of Malaysia III 2016-2025
- 2. National Strategic Plan for Tobacco Control 2015-2020
- 3. Policy Options to Combat Obesity in Malaysia
- 4. Salt Reduction Strategy for Malaysia 2015-2020
- 5. National Strategic Plan for Active Living 2016-2025
- 6. Malaysia's Alcohol Action Plan 2013-2020
- 7. National Strategic Plan for Cancer Control Program 2016-2020
- 8. Enhanced Primary Healthcare (EnPHC) initiative
- 9. Komuniti Sihat, Pembina Negara (KOSPEN) initiative

## Mass Media



## Bridging the Gap to Awareness of Diabetes

 Create Public awareness through MASS medium Work with industry Public talk





### Lifestyle Management & Support For People With Prediabetes Healthcare Professionals Public/People with Diabetes

- Online webinars
- Physical workshops
- Public survey on knowledge of Prediabetes
- Public health
  Education Materials
  on Pre-Diabetes

## Summary of Diabetes Awareness

- Diabetes awareness participated by all levels:
- ✓ policy makers
- ✓ healthcare providers
- ✓ High risks group of diabetes
- ✓ People with diabetes
- ✓ general public

 The four core messages should be disseminated using a variety of channels that is most suited to the different audience



• Identify High Risk Group with Screening

Rationale for screening
 Target audience for screening
 Diabetes screening Method
 Proposed Model of systematic screening



## One in Two Adults with Diabetes are Undiagnosed

Map 3.3 Proportion of adults (20-79 years) with undiagnosed diabetes by country in 2021







## Prevalence of Impaired Glucose Tolerance Adults (20-79yrs)



Adapted from IDF Atlas 2021



## Hyperglycaemia in Pregnancy

### Table 3.19 Global estimates of hyperglycaemia in pregnancy in 2021

| Total live births to women aged 20–49 years in millions                        |              |
|--|--------------|
| Hyperglycaemia in pregnancy  |              |
| Global prevalence  | 16.7%        |
| Number of live births affected in millions                                     | 21.1 million |
| Proportion of cases due to GDM   | 80.3%        |
| Proportion of cases due to other types of diabetes first detected in pregnancy | 9.1%         |
| Proportion of cases due to diabetes detected prior to pregnancy                | 10.6%        |



Age groups



Adapted from IDF Atlas 2021

## **Natural History of Pre-DM**





## What are the associated risks with Pre-diabetes?



### Vascular complications in diabetes continuum



NHANES: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/elec\_prods/subject/nhanesii.htm Janka HU. Fortschr Med 1992;110:637–41

Acknowledged from Dr. Ekgaluck Wanothayaroj, Thailand

# Risk of cardiovascular disease according to glucose tolerance

Incidence / 1000 subjects



## Glycaemia and Risk for Retinopathy







Americans (NHANES)

Cheng YJ et al Diab Care 2009







### 541 millions IGT in 2021 – 25% develop Diabetes, 50% remain IGT Both groups (405.8 millions) at risk of Cardiovascular Disease and Retinopathy



## Screening for Diabetes

• Proposed Strategy for Screening:

- Symptomatic individuals
- Asymptomatic individuals
  Specific high risk population
  General population for at risk individuals



## Screening for Diabetes

• Symptomatic individuals

 Any individual who has symptoms suggestive of diabetes (tiredness, lethargy, polyuria, polydipsia, polyphagia, weight loss, pruritus vulvae, balanitis) **MUST** be screened.
# Screening for Diabetes

#### Asymptomatic individuals- High Risk Population

Adults -overweight or obese with (BMI >23 kg/m2 or waist circumference >80 cm (F) >90 cm (M), and have  $\geq$  1 of the following additional risk factors for diabetes:

- First-degree relative with diabetes
- History of cardiovascular disease (CVD)
- Hypertension (BP >140/90 mm Hg/ therapy for hypertension)
- Previous Impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) or impaired fasting glucose (IFG)
- High density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol <0.9 mmol/L or triglycerides (TG) >2.8 mmol/L
- Other clinical conditions associated with insulin resistance (e.g. severe obesity and acanthosis nigricans)
- Women who delivered a baby weighing >4 kg or previous history of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM)
- Women with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS)
- Physical inactivity
- Special populations (those who are receiving antiretroviral therapy or atypical antipsychotic drugs )



### What tests can be done for Screening

- Capillary blood glucose- fasting or random
- Plasma glucose fasting or Random
- OGTT
- HbA1c
- Diabetes Risk Score

#### Screening Algorithm –Symptomatic Individuals



\* All values are in mmol/L

Adapted from CPG T2DM 2020



#### Screening Algorithm –Asymptomatic Individuals





- All values are in mmol/L.
- \*\* FPG = fasting plasma glucose; RPG = random plasma glucose; OGTT = oral glucose tolerance test; IGT = impaired glucose tolerance; IFG = impaired fasting glucose; DM = diabetes mellitus.
- If FPG ≥7.0 mmol/L or 2-hour PPG ≥11.1 mmol/L, a repeat glucose value (fasting or random) or A1c can be used to make the diagnosis of diabetes.
- For diagnosis of T2DM, venous plasma glucose value is required. Adapted CPG T2 DM 2020

# Screening for Diabetes

• Frequency of screening

- High risk individuals annually
- Individuals without risk factors, testing should begin at the age of 30 years. If tests are normal, screening should be done annually



#### **Challenges of Diabetes Screening**



Acknowledged from Dr. Ekgaluck Wanothayaroj, Thailand

#### How to achieve effective screening for DM ?

**Proposed systematic screening model** 



Acknowledged from Dr. Ekgaluck Wanothayaroj, Thailand

# **Step 1: Diabetes Risk Score**



- □ For "mass" population
- Require most simple method
- □ No blood test required
- □ Can do at any time, any place
- □ More convincing power for the next step

#### **ARE YOU AT RISK FOR** TYPE 2 DIABETES? A. American Diabetes Association.

(3 Points)

ige your risk for

#### **Diabetes Risk Test**

| 1 How old are you?  | Write your score<br>in the box.                                 | Height  |   | Weight (lbs.   | )        |
|---|---|---|---|--|----------|
| Less than 40 years (0 points)   |   | 4' 10"  | 119-142   | 143-190  | 191+     |
| 40—49 years (1 point)   |   | 4′ 11″  | 124-147   | 148-197  | 198+     |
| 50—59 years (2 points)  |   | 5' 0"   | 128-152   | 153-203  | 204+     |
| 60 years or older (3 points)  |   | 5' 1"   | 132-157   | 158-210  | 211+     |
| 2 Are you a man or a woman?   |   | 5' 2"   | 136-163   | 164-217  | 218+     |
|   |   | 5' 3"   | 141-168   | 169-224  | 225+     |
| Man (1 point) Woman (0 points)  |   | 5' 4"   | 145-173   | 174-231  | 232+     |
| If you are a woman, have you ever been  |   | 5' 5"   | 150-179   | 180-239  | 240+     |
| diagnosed with gestational diabetes?  |   | 5' 6"   | 155-185   | 185-246  | 247+     |
| Yes (1 point) No (0 points)   |   | 5' 7"   | 159-190   | 191-254  | 255+     |
| A second s |   | 5' 8"   | 164-196   | 197-261  | 262+     |
| Do you have a mother, father, sister, or<br>brother with diabetes?  |   | 5' 9"   | 169-202   | 203-269  | 270+     |
|   |   | 5' 10"  | 174-208   | 209-277  | 278+     |
| Yes (1 point) No (0 points)   |   | 5' 11"  | 179-214   | 215-285  | 286+     |
| Have you ever been diagnosed with high  |   | 6' 0"   | 184-220   | 221-293  | 294+     |
| blood pressure?   |   | 6' 1"   | 189-226   | 227-301  | 302+     |
| Yes (1 point) No (0 points)   |   | 6' 2"   | 194-232   | 233-310  | 311+     |
|   |   | 6' 3"   | 200-239   | 240-318  | 319+     |
| Are you physically active?  |   | 6' 4"   | 205-245   | 246-327  | 328+     |
| Yes (0 points) No (1 point)   |   |   | (1 Point)   | (2 Points)   | (3 Point |
| What is your weight status?<br>(see chart at right)   |   |   |   | gh less than the<br>h the left colum<br>(0 points)                   |          |
| you scored 5 or higher:<br>u are at increased risk for having type 2 diabetes<br>wever, only your doctor can tell for sure if you<br>have type 2 diabetes or prediabetes (a condi-<br>on that precedes type 2 diabetes in which blood<br>ucose levels are higher than norma). Talk to   |   |   | 151:775-783, 200<br>Original algorith   | ang et al., Ann In:<br>19.<br>m was validated<br>ates as part of the | without  |
| pur doctor to see if additional testing is needed.<br>pe 2 diabetes is more common in African America<br>titnos, American Indians, and Asian Americans and<br>gher body weights increase diabetes risk for ever<br>ian Americans are at increased diabetes risk at lo<br>an the rest of the general public (about 15 pound  | d Pacific Islanders.<br>yone.<br>wer body weights<br>ds lower). | Lower<br>The good new<br>type 2 diabeter<br>and can help yo<br>If you are at hig<br>doctor to see if<br>Visit diabetes or | s is that you i<br>5. Small steps<br>ou live a long<br>1h risk, your :<br>additional or | can manage j<br>i make a big c<br>jer, healthier<br>first step is to | life.    |
| For more information, visit us at diab  |   | Visit diabetes.or<br>2383) for infe   | g or call 1-80  | 0 DIARCTER   | ed.      |

leeded. for information, tips on getting started, and 800-DIABETES (1-800-342ideas for simple, small steps you can take to help

Finnish Diabetes Association

#### **TYPE 2 DIABETES RISK ASSESSMENT FORM**

Circle the right alternative and add up your points.

2. Body-mass index

(See reverse of form)

Lower than 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>

Higher than 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>

3. Waist circumference measured below the ribs

4. Do you usually have daily at least 30 minutes of physical activity at work and/or during leisure

time (including normal daily activity)?

5. How often do you eat vegetables, fruit or

Yes

No

Every day Not every day

25-30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>

(usually at the level of the navel)

MEN

0 p. Less than 94 cm

94–102 cm

4 p. More than 102 cm

0 p.

1 p.

3 p.

3 p.

0 p.

2 p.

1 p.

berries? 0 p.

| 1. Age |                | 6. Have                          | you ever taken medication for high |
|--------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 0 p.   | Under 45 years | blood pressure on regular basis? |                                    |
| 2 p.   | 45–54 years    |                                  | -                                  |
| 3 р.   | 55–64 years    | 0 p.                             | No                                 |
| 4 p.   | Over 64 years  | 2 p.                             | Yes                                |

WOMEN

Less than 80 cm

More than 88 cm

80-88 cm

7. Have you ever been found to have high blood glucose (eg in a health examination, during an illness, during pregnancy)?

| 0 p. | No  |
|------|-----|
| 5 p. | Yes |

8. Have any of the members of your immediate family or other relatives been diagnosed with diabetes (type 1 or type 2)?

- 0 p. No 3 p.
  - Yes: grandparent, aunt, uncle or first cousin (but no own parent, brother, sister or child)
  - 5 p. Yes: parent, brother, sister or own child

| Total Risk Score |                             |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| The ris          | k of developing             |
| type 2           | diabetes within 10 years is |
| Lower than 7     | Low: estimated 1 in 100     |
|                  | will develop disease        |
| 7–11             | Slightly elevated:          |
|                  | estimated 1 in 25           |
|                  | will develop disease        |
| 12-14            | Moderate: estimated 1 in 6  |
|                  | will develop disease        |
| 15–20            | High: estimated 1 in 3      |
|                  | will develop disease        |
| Higher           | Very high:                  |
| than 20          | estimated 1 in 2            |
|                  | will develop disease        |
| ************     | Diaso turn ovor             |

or call 1-800-DIABETES (1-800-342-2383)

### **Diabetes Risk Score Engine**

| $\Theta$                               | UKP                             | DS Risk Engi | ne v2.0                            |       |         |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| nput                                   | 62                              |              | HbA1c :                            | 83    | %       |
| Age Now :                              |                                 | ears         |                                    |       | ~       |
| Duration of Diabetes :                 | 11 y                            | ears         | Systolic BP :                      | 145   | mmHg    |
| Sex :                                  | 💽 Male                          | ○ Female     | Total Cholesterol :                | 5.8   | mmol/   |
| Atrial Fibrillation :                  | 💽 No                            | ◯ Yes        | HDL Cholesterol :                  | 1.1   | mmol/   |
| Ethnicity :                            | White                           | •            |                                    |       |         |
| Smoking :                              | Non-Sn                          | noker 🛟      |                                    |       |         |
|  | h                               |              |                                    |       |         |
|  |                                 |              |                                    | Opt   | tions > |
|  |                                 |              |                                    | Opt   |         |
| 10                                     | year risk                       | 0 15         | 30                                 | Opt   |         |
| 10                                     | year risk<br>33.3%              | 0 15         | 30<br>├──                          | Opt   |         |
| 10                                     | 33.3%                           | 0 15         | 30<br>                             | Opt   |         |
| 10<br>CHD :                            | 33.3%<br>24.4%                  | 0 15         | 30<br>                             | Opt   |         |
| CHD :<br>Fatal CHD :                   | 33.3%<br>24.4%<br>11.6%         |              | 30<br>┝── <b>↓</b><br>┣── <b>↓</b> | Opt   | tions > |
| 10<br>CHD :<br>Fatal CHD :<br>Stroke : | 33.3%<br>24.4%<br>11.6%<br>1.8% | 0 15         |                                    | Opt   |         |
| 10<br>CHD :<br>Fatal CHD :<br>Stroke : | 33.3%<br>24.4%<br>11.6%<br>1.8% |              |                                    | Print |         |

# **Step 2: Simple Blood Glucose Test**

- Random capillary blood glucose is preferred due to convenience, can do anytime
- Testing capillary blood glucose using certified meter and strips is accurate enough for screening
- Higher sensitivity compared with fasting



# **Step 3: Confirmation Test**

| Tests  | Results                                   |                     |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Fasting blood glucose<br>Must be 8 hours fast  | ≥ 7 mmol/L or 126mg/dl                    | Repeat if equivocal |  |  |
| Oral Glucose Tolerance<br>Test   | ≥ 11.1 mmol/L or 200mg/dl<br>(at 2 hours) | Repeat if equivocal |  |  |
| Random blood glucose<br>With classic symptoms  | ≥ 11.1 mmol/L or 200mg/dl                 |                     |  |  |
| A1c<br>NGSP-certified with DCCT<br>standardised  | ≥ 6.3% (Malaysian)<br>≥ 6.5% (WHO)        | Repeat if equivocal |  |  |
| Diagnosis required 2 abnormal blood results from the same samples or 2 separate samples. |   |                     |  |  |

ADA 2020, CPG T2DM 2020

# Proposed Model of Screening and Action Diabetes Risk Score- (High Risk)





#### Pre-Diabetes/Diabetes

**Refer for Behaviour Modification** 



# Collaboration + Activity 2



#### **Collaboration to Promote Health**

Collaboration of Diabetes Awareness Prevention and Education programs at **EVERY AVAILABLE OPPORTUNITIES** 

- Healthcare setting
- Government Agencies

   e.g. education sites
   Government office
- Non-government organizations
   e.g. senior citizen,
   Diabetes Association

- Private organizations
- Community setting

   e.g. faith healing centre,
   peer support groups,
   YMCA
- Pharmaceutical companies
- Worksite Wellness programs



#### Adapting the Diabetes Prevention Program Lifestyle Intervention for Delivery in the Community : The YMCA Model

Objective: YMCA staff deliver lifestyle DPP intervention in Community Target to achieve 5-7% weight loss and physical activity 150 mins/ week

Method: RCT 12 mths, 2 YMCA facilities in US N=92 Intervention- Group Education intervention on diet, exercise, overcome barriers

Result: 6 mth – Weight reduction 6% (I) Vs 2% (C) (p<0.001) 12 mths –Weight reduction 6% (I) Vs 2% (C) (p<0.001) intervention group had significant reduced estimated 10-yr risk of coronary heart disease (based on blood pressure, lipid levels and A1c)

First year cost per participant YMCA model Vs DPP US \$275-\$325 VS US \$1400



Effectiveness of Mobile Phone Messaging in PREVENTION of TYPE 2 DM by lifestyle modification in men in India:a prospective parallel-group, randomised controlled





Ramachandran et al 2013 The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology

### Worksite Wellness Program

Workplaces are to adults as School are to children

The workplace presents a useful setting for introducing and maintaining health-promotion programs for working adults

Healthy People in Healthy Places Initiative CDC, USA



#### Improving Employee Health: Evaluation of a Worksite Lifestyle Change Program to Decrease Risk Factors for Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease

Method: Randomised 6 month control designed: Wkly intervention at workplace x 3 mths follow by mthly targeting weight loss and increase physical activities CAD risk factors measured.

Result: N=89 participants. (60 intervention 29 delayed control group) At 6 mths Intervention group greater weight loss vs delayed control group. (p=0.001), greater improvement in HbA1c and other risk factors. The delayed group experience similar improvement after completing the intervention program.

Conclusion. A worksite Lifestyle change programme is feasible to decrease risks factors for diabetes and cardiovascular disease.



Kramer M et al. Journal of Occupational Environmental Medicine 2015; 57: 284-291

# **Workplace Diabetes Prevention**



 IMU –wellness programme was launched in May 2017 to promote overall wellness amongst the staff and students of IMU.





The Third National Plan of Action for Nutrition of Malaysia (NPANM III), 2016-2025



# **ENABLING STRATEGIES**

ES 1: Promoting Maternal, Infant and Young Child Nutrition ES 2: Promoting Healthy Eating and Active Living ES 3: Preventing and Controlling Nutritional Deficiencies ES 4: Preventing and Controlling Obesity and Other Diet Related NCD ES 5: Sustaining Food Systems for Healthy Diets ES 6: Supporting Efforts to Promote Food Safety and Quality

> http://nutrition.moh.gov.my Twitter :@Bahagian PemakananKKM Facebook: Bahagian Pemakanan, Kementerian Kesihatan Malaysia Youtube: Bahagian Pemakanan





Official Website LEMBAGA PROMOSI KESIHATAN MALAYSIA Unit No. 13-4, Aras 4, Galleria Cyberjaya Jalan Teknokrat 6, Cyber 5 63000 Cyberjaya, Selangor Darul Ehsan. www.mysihat.gov.my | Tel : 03-8311 9300 | Fax : 03-8322 5959 (Statutory Body placed under the Ministry of Health)

As a grant provider, the Board gives priority to the following areas for health promotion:

- 1. Prevention and control of tobacco and alcohol consumption
- 2. Promotion of healthy lifestyles, including promotion of exercise/ physical activity and healthy eating
- 3. Environmental health including healthy settings
- 4. Mental health
- 5. Cancer prevention
- 6. Diabetes prevention
- 7. Cardiovascular disease prevention
- 8. Prevention of obesity
- 9. Sexual health (including HIV/AIDS)
- 10.Research in health promotion
- 11. Promoting health through sport, cultural and arts activities



# KOSPEN

KOMUNITI SIHAT

- "KOSPEN" is an acronym for 'Komuniti Sihat Perkasa Negara' which means 'Healthy community, empowers the nation'
- It is a non-communicable disease (NCD) intervention programme started by Ministry of Health Malaysia (MOH) in October 2013
- MOH collaborated with other government Ministries and existing programs and activities at grass-root levels to establish
- KOSPEN-KEMAS with Department of Community Development (KEMAS)
- KOSPEN-RT with Community Watch (Rukun Tetangga/ under Department of National Unity and Integration )



# KOSPEN

# KOSPEN focuses 5 scoops of NCD Risk factors:

KOMUNITI SIHAT PERKASA NEGARA

- Healthy eating
- Active lifestyle
- Body weight management
- No smoking
- Regular screening of blood pressure, blood glucose and body mass index
- KOSPEN health volunteers are trained to perform health advocacy, health promotion and conduct BMI, Blood Pressure and Capillary Blood Glucose measurement in the community

Kospen report 2015



### IMU News 28<sup>th</sup> Februry 2019





### National Health and Morbidity Survey 2019

#### Recommendations



#### **Non-Communicable Diseases and Risk Factors**

- Dual prong approach in to tackle the current diabetes epidemic
- ➢Primary Health Care
  - Strengthen the primary care to improve management of diagnosed patients
  - Expand multidisciplinary effort towards management of all patients with NCDs
  - Focus on risk reduction of NCDs for all patients
  - Self empowerment of patients

#### Community Intervention

- Health education and promotion to reinforce the benefits of healthier choices, and to make these choices available, accessible and affordable (supportive environment).
- Early detection needs to be focused towards all layers and age groups within community
- Improve screening, detection and find innovative ways to encourage public to come forward
- Strengthen Health-in-All policies to leverage the NCD agenda amongst agencies outside of health.



### **Collaboration in Secondary Prevention**

1. Improving Care and Promoting Health in Populations: *Standards of Care in Diabetes*—2023

Diabetes Care 2023;46(Suppl. 1):S10–S18 | https://doi.org/10.2337/dc23-S001

#### Recommendation

- Ensure treatment are timely, collaborated with individual with diabetes and guided by evidence-based treatment guideline (Level B)
- Aligned approaches to diabetes management with Chronic care model (Level A)
- Care system should facilitate team based care and utilization of patient registries, decision support tools and community involvement (Level B)
- Assess diabetes healthcare maintainace with reliable and relevant health metrics to improve health outcomes and emphasize on care cost (Level B)

#### Cost-effective solutions for the prevention of type 2 diabetes

https://www.idf.org/our-activities/care-prevention/prevention.html



Contra in

Cherter of the second

Ecocutive manufacty

Introduction

Cost-effectiveness analysis

Methodology

Results

Discussion

Conclusion

Figure 16 Cost-effectiveness by type of intervention, based on ID 50,000 acceptance threshold



Adapted from. Cost-effective solutions for the prevention of type 2 diabetes. 2016

# **Table 7** Cost per QALY gained from various nutritionpolicies, from a health system perspective

| Intervention                              | Cost per QALY gained               |  |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Sugar-sweetened beverage ban              | - ID 2 900 Cost saving             |  |
| Tax on sugar-sweetened<br>beverages       | - ID 513 000 Cos saving            |  |
| Subsidy on vegetables                     | + ID 880 000 Not cost<br>effective |  |
| Monetary reward on purchase of vegetables | Not significant                    |  |
| Budget increase                           | Not significant                    |  |

Adapted from. Cost-effective solutions for the prevention of type 2 diabetes. 2016.



### Research

- Research is important in every level of Diabetes Prevention
- Outcomes of research help:
- **l**identify gaps

guide development strategy for future direction in primary, secondary and tertiary diabetes prevention



# Summary

 Implementation of diabetes awareness and health promotion have to involve all levels of care for effectiveness

• Diabetes prevention challenge goes beyond the healthcare system

Diabetes Prevention could be cost effective



- 1. International Diabetes Federation Atlas 10<sup>th</sup> edit 2021
- 2. Ministry of Health Malaysia. National Diabetes Morbidity and Mortality Survey 2019
- 3. Ministry of Health Malaysia. 2022 National Diabetes Registry Report 2022.
- Schoepp TM. Obesity in Malaysia: Unhealthy Eating is as Harmful as Smoking. Penang Institute Issues ; 5<sup>th</sup> December 2017
- Ministry of Health Malaysia, Clinical Practice Guideline Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus 5<sup>th</sup> edit 2015.
- Diabetes Prevention Research group. Reduction in the Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes with Lifestyle Intervention or Metformin N Engl J Med. 2002;346:393-403.
- 7. Ratner RE, Costas A. Christophi CA, Metzger BE.et al. Prevention of Diabetes in Women with a History of Gestational Diabetes: Effects of Metformin and Lifestyle Interventions. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 2008;93:4774-4779.
- 8. Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG. et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance N Engl J Med. 2001; 344:1343-1350



- 9. Pan X, Li F, Hu Y et al. Effect of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance: The Da Quig IGT and Diabetes Study. Diabetes Care 1997;20 (4):537-44
- 10. Kosaka k, Noda M, Kuzuya T. Prevention of Type 2 diabetes: A Japanese Trial in IGT men. Diabetes Research and Clinical Pra tice 2005;67:152-162
- Erikson J, Lindstrom J, Valle T et al. Prevenion of Type II diabetes in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance: the Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS) in Findland Study design and 1-year interim report on the feasibility of the lifestyle intervneion programme. Diabetologi 1999;43:793-801
- 12. Chiasson JL, Robert Gjosse R, Gomis R STOP-NIDDM trial Research Group. Acarbose for prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: the SOP-NIDDM randomized trial. Lancet 2002;359: 2072-77
- 13. Herder C, Martin S, Kempf K DREAM Trial investigators. DREAM (Diabetes Reduction Assessment with Ramipril and Rosiglitazone Medication) Effect of rosiglitazone on the frequency of diabetes in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose: a randomized controlled trial. Lancet 2006; 368:1096-1105.
- 14. Torgeson JS, Hauptman J, Boldrin MN et al. XENical in the prevention of Diabetes in Obese Subjects (XWNDOS) Study. Diabetes Care 2004;27 (1):1550161
- Li GW, Zhang P, Wang J et al. The long-term effect of lifestyle intervention to prevent diabetes in the China Da Qing Diabetes Prevention Study: a 20-year follow-up study. Lancet 2008; 371: 1783-89

- 16. DPP Research Group. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. Lancet 2009;374: 1677-1686
- Lindström J, Ilanne-Parikka P, Peltonen M. et al, Sustained reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: follow-up of the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Lancet 2006; 368: 1673-79
- Ding CH., Teng CL., Koh CN. Knowledge of Diabetes among Diabetic and non-Diabetic in Klinik Kesihatan Seremban. Medical Journal Malaysia 2006; 61(4):399-404.
- Qamar M., Iqubal RRM., Ahmad S. et al. Awareness of diabetes mellitus among general public in Shah Alarm in Malaysia: A cross-sectional study. 2017. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutic and Clinical Research; 10(9): 192-196
- 20. Mohd Najid MD., Dali AF., Ahmad A. et al. Knowledge and attitude of diabetes among public in Kota Bahru, Kelantan Malaysia.
- Al-Naggar RA,Osman MT, Ismail N., et al Diabetes Mellitus among Selected Malaysian Population: A Cross-Sectional study. International Journal of Medical Research & Health Sciences, 2017, 6(4): 1-11
- 22. Sasikala Chinnappan , Palanisamy Sivanandy, Rajenthina Sagaran, Nagashekhara Molugulu , Assessment of Knowledge of Diabetes Mellitus in the Urban Areas of Klang District, Malaysia Pharmacy 2017, 5, 11; doi:10.3390/pharmacy 5010011

- 23. International Diabetes Federation. Global Strategic plan to raise awareness of diabetes, International Diabetes Federation 2003
- 24. Nathan DM, Davidson MB, DeFronzo RA. et al Impaired Fasting Glucose and Impaired Glucose Tolerance- Implications for care Diabetes Care 2007; Mar; 30(3): 753-759
- 25. Eschwege E,Richard JL, Thibult N . Et al Coronary heart disease mortality in relation with diabetes, blood glucose and plasma insulin levels. The Paris Prospective Study, ten years later. Hormone and Metabolic Research 1985;15: 41-46
- 26. Goldberg RB. Temprosa M, Haffner S. Effect of Progression From Impaired Glucose Tolerance to Diabetes on Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Its Amelioration by Lifestyle and Metformin Intervention Diabetes Care.32(4): 726 732
- 27. Cheng YJ, Gregg EW, Geiss LS. et al. Association of A1C and Fasting Plasma Glucose Levels With Diabetic Retinopathy Prevalence in the U.S. Population: Implications for diabetes diagnostic thresholds. Diabetes Care 2009 32(11): 2027-2032.
- 28. American Diabetes Association. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standard of Medical Care in Diabetes 2020 Diabetes Care. Jan; 43(Supplement 1): S14-S31

- 29. American Diabetes Association. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standardof Medical Care in Diabetes 2019 Diabetes Care. Jan; 42(Supplement 1): S13-S28.
- 30. Dr, Ekgaluck Wanothayaroj, Mastering Challenges in Diabete Management Together, APAC Nurse Symposium 17<sup>th</sup>-18<sup>th</sup> January 2019
- Ackermann RT, Marrero DG. Adapting the Diabetes Prevention Program Lifestyle Intervention for Delivery in the Community The Diabetes Educator 2007 <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0145721706297743</u>
- 32. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Ram J. et al Eff ectiveness of mobile phone messaging in prevention of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle modifi cation in men in India: a prospective, parallel-group, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Sept 2013;1-8
- 33. Goetzel RZ, Anderson DR, Whitmer RW et al. The Relationship Between Modifiable Health Risks and Health Care Expenditures: An Analysis of the Multi-Employer HERO Health Risk and Cost Database
- 34. Abdul Razak J. The Third National Plan of Action for Nutrition of Malaysian (NPANM III) 2016-2025 presented at 31<sup>st</sup> NSM Conference 31 May 2016 Istana Hotel Kuala Lumpur

- 35. Lim KH, M Fadhli Y, Omar M et al Technical Report EVALUATION OF EFFECTIVENESS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF "KOMUNITI SIHAT PERKASA NEGARA" (KOSPEN) PROGRAMME IN MALAYSIA- PHASE 1 2015.
- 36. My Sihat <u>http://www.mysihat.gov.my/index.php/en/about-us</u>, access 19<sup>th</sup> February 2019
- 37. International Diabetes Federation. Cost-effective solutions for the prevention of type 2 diabetes. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation, 2016
- American Diabetes Association. Improving Care and Promoting Health in Populations: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetesd2020 Diabetes Care 2020;43(Suppl. 1):S7–S13





