## Master of Science in Analytical and Pharmaceutical Chemistry Module: Computational Drug Discovery Techniques (MAPC304) Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) Workshop Assessment Criteria and Marking Scheme

## Assessment 2 CAL Workshop Report (10%)

## **Topic: Virtual Screening (VS)**

Based on the computational drug discovery software application skills (hands-on) gained through facilitated computer-assisted learning (CAL) workshops, upon completion of the workshop the students should submit a CAL workshop report on the application of computational techniques for VS by means of an industrial problem-based/case-based-scenarios.

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Weak	Weightage	Marks
	(9-10)	(8-8.9)	(6-7.9)	(4-5.9)	(0-3.9)		
Content	Completeness	Completeness	Completeness	Completeness	Completeness	X 7	70
	Effective, accurate and	The content presented are	The content presented is	The content presented is	The content presented is		
(70%)	adequate, selected	accurate and adequate,	accurate, however selected	accurate, however	not accurate, and		
	computational approach	however the selected	computational approach is	selected computational	selected computational		
	and the presentation of the	computational approach	not well supported; some	approach is not well	approach is not valid.		
	content is more specific to	and content is less specific	evidence, but usually of a	supported; no evidence.			
	VS.	to VS.	generalised nature.				
	Methodology	Methodology	Methodology	Methodology	Methodology		
	All the following tasks are	Four of the following tasks	Three of the following tasks	Two of the following	One of the following tasks		
	completed in sequential	are completed in sequential	are completed in sequential	tasks are completed in	is completed in sequential		
	order and presented in the	order and presented in the	order and presented in the	sequential order and	order and presented in		
	report.	report.	report.	presented in the report.	the report.		
	1. Selection of	1. Selection of	1. Selection of	1. Selection of	1. Selection of		
	therapeutic area for	therapeutic area for	therapeutic area for	therapeutic area for	therapeutic area for		
	drug discovery.	drug discovery.	drug discovery.	drug discovery.	drug discovery.		
	2. Selection of	2. Selection of	2. Selection of	2. Selection of	2. Selection of		
	computational tool for	computational tool for	computational tool for	computational tool	computational tool		
	virtual screening.	virtual screening.	virtual screening.	for virtual screening.	for virtual screening.		
	3. Validation of the	3. Validation of the	3. Validation of the	3. Validation of the	3. Validation of the		
	computational tool.	computational tool.	computational tool.	computational tool.	computational tool.		
	4. Application of virtual	4. Application of virtual	4. Application of virtual	4. Application of virtual	4. Application of virtual		
	screening protocol.	screening protocol.	screening protocol.	screening protocol.	screening protocol.		
	5. Identification of "Hits"	5. Identification of "Hits"	5. Identification of "Hits"	5. Identification of	5. Identification of		
	(Protein targets/	(Protein targets/	(Protein targets/	"Hits" (Protein	"Hits" (Protein		
	ligands).	ligands).	ligands).	targets/ ligands).	targets/ ligands).		
	Results and discussion	Results and discussion	Results and discussion	Results and discussion	Results and discussion		

## Master of Science in Analytical and Pharmaceutical Chemistry Module: Computational Drug Discovery Techniques (MAPC304) Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) Workshop Assessment Criteria and Marking Scheme

	Able to critically analyse the	Able to analyse the results.	Able to analyse the results.	Unable to analyse the	Unable to analyse the		
	results. Well-discussed, supported with specific and relevant literature.	Well-discussed, supported with specific and relevant literature.	Discussed with relevant literature.	results accurately. Discussion is not supported by relevant literature.	results accurately. Discussion is not well written and is not supported by relevant literature.		
	Conclusion All-important research findings are concluded in a concise manner and are presented well.	<b>Conclusion</b> Most important research findings are summarized in a concise manner and are presented well.	<b>Conclusion</b> Some important research findings are summarized in a lengthy manner and are presented well.	<b>Conclusion</b> Research findings are summarized to an extent but are not presented well.	<b>Conclusion</b> Research findings are not summarized in a concise manner and are not presented well.		
Organisation (10%)	Meeting all the following requirements:	Meeting any 3 of the following requirements:	Meeting any 2 of the following requirements:	Meeting any 1 of the following requirements:	Meeting none of the following requirements:	X 1	10
	<ol> <li>Logical order and flow</li> <li>Appropriate use of figures and tables</li> <li>Appropriate titles or legends are given to figures and tables</li> <li>Appropriate citation of the references</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Logical order and flow</li> <li>Appropriate use of figures and tables</li> <li>Appropriate titles or legends are given to figures and tables</li> <li>Appropriate citation of the references</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Logical order and flow</li> <li>Appropriate use of figures and tables</li> <li>Appropriate titles or legends are given to figures and tables</li> <li>Appropriate citation of the references</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Logical order and flow</li> <li>Appropriate use of figures and tables</li> <li>Appropriate titles or legends are given to figures and tables</li> <li>Appropriate citation of the references</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Logical order and flow</li> <li>Appropriate use of figures and tables</li> <li>Appropriate titles or legends are given to figures and tables</li> <li>Appropriate citation of the references</li> </ol>		
Referencing (10%)	Complete list of references. Appropriate and consistent format. Up to date references used in the content.	Complete list of references. Appropriate and consistent format, however outdated references used.	Complete list of references, however minor mistakes are made on referencing style and outdated references used.	Complete list of references, however major mistakes are made on referencing style and outdated references used.	Incomplete list of references, major mistakes are made on referencing style and outdated references used.	X 1	10
Grammar and language (10%)	No spelling or grammatical errors. Excellent quality of word processing.	There is a good range of sentence structure and vocabulary with a number of minor errors in word formation or spelling.	While there are noticeable language errors, these do not significantly interfere with the reader understanding the essay.	The range of sentences expressed correctly is limited. Errors in grammar, word choice, word formation and spelling cause difficulty for the reader.	Continuous errors in sentence structure, word choice, word forms and spelling prevent communication.	X 1	10
Total Mark						100	

Master of Science in Analytical and Pharmaceutical Chemistry Module: Computational Drug Discovery Techniques (MAPC304) Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) Workshop Assessment Criteria and Marking Scheme

Student's name:	ID	No:	Cohort:
Title of the report:			
Examiner's name:	_Signature:		_Date: