Master of Science in Analytical and Pharmaceutical Chemistry Module: Computational Drug Discovery Techniques (MAPC304) Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) Workshop Assessment Criteria and Marking Scheme

Assessment 2 CAL Workshop Report (10%)

Topic: Ligand-Based Drug Design (LBDD)

Based on the computational drug discovery software application skills (hands-on) gained through facilitated computer-assisted learning (CAL) workshops, upon completion of the workshop the students should submit a CAL workshop report on the application of computational techniques for LBDD by means of an industrial problem-based/case-based-scenarios.

Criteria	Excellent (9-10)	Good (8-8.9)	Satisfactory (6-7.9)	Poor (4-5.9)	Weak (0-3.9)	Weightage	Marks
Content	Completeness	Completeness	Completeness	Completeness	Completeness	X 7	70
	Effective, accurate and	The content presented are	The content presented is	The content presented is	The content presented is not		
(70%)	adequate, selected	accurate and adequate,	accurate, however selected	accurate, however	accurate, and selected		
(,	computational approach	however the selected	computational approach is	selected computational	computational approach is		
	and the presentation of the	computational approach	not well supported; some	approach is not well	not valid.		
	content is more specific to	and content is less specific	evidence, but usually of a	supported; no evidence.			
	LBDD.	to LBDD.	generalised nature.	,			
	Methodology	Methodology	Methodology	Methodology	Methodology		
	All the following	Seven of the following	Six of the following	Five of the following	Four or less than four of the		
	computational software	computational software	computational software	computational software	following computational		
	application tasks are	application tasks are	application tasks are	application tasks are	software application tasks		
	completed in sequential	completed in sequential	completed in sequential	completed in sequential	are completed in sequential		
	order and presented in the	order and presented in the	order and presented in the	order and presented in	order and presented in the		
	report.	report.	report.	the report.	report.		
	1. Selection of dataset	1. Selection of dataset	1. Selection of dataset	Selection of dataset	1. Selection of dataset		
	(bioactive ligands)	(bioactive ligands) from	(bioactive ligands) from	(bioactive ligands)	(bioactive ligands) from		
	from the literature	the literature	the literature	from the literature	the literature		
	2. Curation of	2. Curation of	2. Curation of	2. Curation of	2. Curation of		
	chemical/biological	chemical/biological	chemical/biological	chemical/biological	chemical/biological		
	dataset	dataset	dataset	dataset	dataset		
	3. Molecular modelling	3. Molecular modelling of	3. Molecular modelling of	3. Molecular modelling	3. Molecular modelling of		
	of dataset	dataset	dataset	of dataset	dataset		
	4. Three-dimensional	4. Three-dimensional	4. Three-dimensional	4. Three-dimensional	4. Three-dimensional		
	(3D) conformational	(3D) conformational	(3D) conformational	(3D) conformational	(3D) conformational		
	search	search	search	search	search		
	5. 3D alignment	5. 3D alignment	5. 3D alignment	5. 3D alignment	5. 3D alignment		

Master of Science in Analytical and Pharmaceutical Chemistry Module: Computational Drug Discovery Techniques (MAPC304) Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) Workshop Assessment Criteria and Marking Scheme

	6. Selection of training/test sets 7. Ligand-based 3D-pharmacophore modelling 8. Predicting the predictability of the model	6. Selection of training/test sets 7. Ligand-based 3D-pharmacophore modelling 8. Predicting the predictability of the model	6. Selection of training/test sets 7. Ligand-based 3D-pharmacophore modelling 8. Predicting the predictability of the model	Selection of training/test sets Ligand-based 3D-pharmacophore modelling Predicting the predictability of the model	6. Selection of training/test sets 7. Ligand-based 3D-pharmacophore modelling 8. Predicting the predictability of the model		
	Results and discussion Able to critically analyse the results. Well- discussed, supported with specific and relevant literature.	Results and discussion Able to analyse the results. Well-discussed, supported with specific and relevant literature.	Results and discussion Able to analyse the results. Discussed with relevant literature.	Results and discussion Unable to analyse the results accurately. Discussion is not supported by relevant literature.	Results and discussion Unable to analyse the results accurately. Discussion is not well written and is not supported by relevant literature.		
	Conclusion All-important research findings are concluded in a concise manner and are presented well.	Conclusion Most important research findings are summarized in a concise manner and are presented well.	Conclusion Some important research findings are summarized in a lengthy manner and are presented well.	Conclusion Research findings are summarized to an extent but are not presented well.	Conclusion Research findings are not summarized in a concise manner and are not presented well.		
Organisation (10%)	Meeting all the following requirements: 1. Logical order and flow 2. Appropriate use of figures and tables 3. Appropriate titles or legends are given to figures and tables 4. Appropriate citation of the references	Meeting any 3 of the following requirements: 1. Logical order and flow 2. Appropriate use of figures and tables 3. Appropriate titles or legends are given to figures and tables 4. Appropriate citation of the references	Meeting any 2 of the following requirements: 1. Logical order and flow 2. Appropriate use of figures and tables 3. Appropriate titles or legends are given to figures and tables 4. Appropriate citation of the references	Meeting any 1 of the following requirements: 1. Logical order and flow 2. Appropriate use of figures and tables 3. Appropriate titles or legends are given to figures and tables 4. Appropriate citation of the references	Meeting none of the following requirements: 1. Logical order and flow 2. Appropriate use of figures and tables 3. Appropriate titles or legends are given to figures and tables 4. Appropriate citation of the references	X 1	10
Referencing (10%)	Complete list of references. Appropriate and consistent format. Up to date references used in the content.	Complete list of references. Appropriate and consistent format, however outdated references used.	Complete list of references, however minor mistakes are made on referencing style and outdated references used.	Complete list of references, however major mistakes are made on referencing style and outdated references used.	Incomplete list of references, major mistakes are made on referencing style and outdated references used.	X 1	10

Master of Science in Analytical and Pharmaceutical Chemistry Module: Computational Drug Discovery Techniques (MAPC304) Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) Workshop Assessment Criteria and Marking Scheme

Grammar and	No spelling or grammatical			_	Continuous errors in	X 1	10
language (10%)	errors. Excellent quality of word processing.	sentence structure and vocabulary with a number of		expressed correctly is limited. Errors in	sentence structure, word choice, word forms and		
(1076)	word proceeding.	minor errors in word formation or spelling.	with the reader understanding the essay.	grammar, word choice, word formation and spelling cause difficulty for the reader.	spelling prevent communication.		
Total Mark							100

Student's name:	ID	No: Cohort:
Title of the report:		
Examiner's name:	Signature:	Date: